This transcript is being provided in its entirety for dissemination by

Bill Benson

www.thelawthatneverwas.com

bjbenson1@comcast.net

bjbenson1@thelawthatneverwas.com

708-596-3142

This document is protected from alteration in any way. To protect against alterations it may only be read – it cannot be printed.

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 3 4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) 5 Plaintiff, 6 NO. CR 03-345 PHX-ROS vs. CAROL RIZZO, Phoenix, Arizona February 12, 2004 8 JOHN RIZZO, 1:45 p.m. Defendants.) 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 16 (Plea Hearing) 17 BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROSLYN O. SILVER 18 19

APPEARANCE

2

3

4

5

б

1

For the Plaintiff:

Edward Groves, Esq. Larry Wszalek, Esq. Mark T. Odulio, Esq.

United States Attorney's Office

Two Renaissance Square 40 North Central Avenue

Suite 1200

Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4408

7

8

9

For the Defendants:

Alan P. Caplan, Esq. Law Office of Alan P. Caplan

630 Carolina Street

San Francisco, California 94107

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

<u>I</u> <u>N</u> <u>D</u> <u>E</u> <u>X</u>

WITNESS: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS

(None.)

$\underline{\mathtt{P}} \ \underline{\mathtt{R}} \ \underline{\mathtt{O}} \ \underline{\mathtt{C}} \ \underline{\mathtt{E}} \ \underline{\mathtt{E}} \ \underline{\mathtt{D}} \ \underline{\mathtt{I}} \ \underline{\mathtt{N}} \ \underline{\mathtt{G}} \ \underline{\mathtt{S}}$

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

1

THE CLERK: This is CR 03-345, United States of America versus John Rizzo and Carol Rizzo. Time for plea hearing.

01:45:12P

Counsel, please announce.

MR. GROVES: On behalf of United States, Edward Groves, along with Mark Odulio and Larry Wszalek.

THE COURT: Thank you.

01:45:20P

MR. CAPLAN: Good afternoon, your Honor. Alan Caplan on behalf of Carol Rizzo and John Rizzo, who are present in court and in custody, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

These plea agreements call for cooperation.

there any reason why this matter should be under seal?

Q1:45:37F

MR. GROVES: No, there is not, your Honor. In fact, in order to perform the cooperation, it needs to be unsealed and in a public manner. We believe there is no threat in terms of safety to the individuals. This is a matter that involves not crimes of violence, but crimes of a financial nature that do not warrant sealing of the court or the plea agreements.

01,:45:511

22

THE COURT: Counsel.

MR. CAPLAN: Yes, your Honor. I have discussed

this with my clients, and both of them understand that, and

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

 24

5

Mr. -- depending on, of course, the Court's ruling on Carol Rizzo and whether or not she's going to be released,
Mr. John Rizzo is prepared and understands that even down at CCA, if this does become public and folks are aware, if he perceives any kind of threat he will let folks know and let the government know, and I would do likewise, your Honor. He would prefer not to be put in protective custody at this point in time.

01:46:24P

THE COURT: Yes, I would imagine that. I just note that --

Q1:46:38P

You may be seated.

MR. CAPLAN: Thank you.

THE COURT: -- that one of the requirements for my consideration of substantial cooperation is number four, injury suffered or any danger or risk of injury to the defendant or his family resulting from his assistance. The centerpiece of this entire plea is the cooperation, and, you know, I don't want to mislead anybody by allowing a plea, where I find that there will be some issues that I will raise on whether or not there has been substantial cooperation.

01:46:51E

01:47:09:

01:47:35

First of all, I am going to ask counsel,
Mr. Groves and Mr. Caplan, if your clients had been
convicted of what is set forth in the Indictment, has the
relevant conduct been considered in the plea agreement?

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

MR. GROVES: Yes, it has, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. CAPLAN: If I may, your Honor, yes, we discussed that, and realized that there were two ways of going. One, we could try and outline and agree upon the relevant conduct, and then we felt that given the complexity of this as a financial case, and in particular, the tax loss being the driving force in sentencing, that we would leave it open to both sides to present evidence for the Court's consideration on all of those, rather than foreclosing it.

01:48:08P)

01:47:479

THE COURT: Well, there is a statutory cap, if I understand, of seven years; correct?

MR. GROVES: That is actually six years with respect to Carol Rizzo, and 14 years as to --

Q1:48:23P

THE COURT: All right. I must have -- let me look at this again.

MR GROVES: Mr. Rizzo would be pleading to two five-year felonies, one one-year misdemeanor, and one three-year felony.

01:48:49

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GROVES: And Mrs. Rizzo is pleading to one five-year felony conspiracy count and one one-year misdemeanor count, all contained in the Indictment.

THE COURT: Okay. And all of those statutory

01:49:021

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

maximums will allow for the relevant conduct which was caused in this case; correct?

MR. GROVES: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: And can you, Mr. Groves, then, today estimate as closely as you can what the relevant conduct would be for Mr. Rizzo?

01:49:14P

MR. GROVES: Under the guidelines, your Honor, we believe that the representation of tax loss would arrive at a sentence in the range of four to six years of incarceration, which is well within the statutory cap. The driving force is the unreported income which is contained in the factual basis, which is over \$4,000,000 that's attributable in the factual basis. We are open to litigating it at sentencing with respect to what the actual tax loss, absent deductions and that sort of thing, would be.

01:49:30F

Q1:49:52F

16 be.

THE COURT: And so your experts here, in conjunction with you, have determined that that amount is what you could prove by a preponderance of the evidence at the time of sentencing?

01:50:031

MR. GROVES: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Caplan.

MR. CAPLAN: Your Honor, yes. In terms of gross income, we would say that that's substantially the case,

01:50:10

1 \

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and we are quite confident that there is substantial deductions that would come from that which would bring it down from the maximum that Mr. Groves just spoke of.

THE COURT: Okay. And also part of the Indictment included perjury before the Federal Grand Jury, obstruction of justice. Each of those would bring additional potential guideline range months or years, would it not?

MR. GROVES: That's correct, your Honor. That's why we were trying to come up with our best guess under the |01:50:492 guidelines as to what everything, including enhancements would be as a guess. Obviously that is something that may vary, depending on what the ultimate presentence report recommends.

THE COURT: Well, I understand that, too, but to me, it's not really -- it doesn't require a rocket surgeon to figure out how many enhancements there would be for perjury before a Grand Jury. There certainly is going to be a guideline range on obstruction of justice; right?

MR. GROVES: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So in order to properly ascertain what the guideline range would be, taking those two into account, what adjustment upward did you determine was necessary?

MR. GROVES: In terms of Mr. Caplan and our

01:50:30P

01:51:06F

01:51:28)

01:51:43

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

25

discussions with respect to enhancements or upward adjustments, you know, we're looking at --

MR. CAPLAN: Well, if I may, Mr. Groves.

MR. GROVES: -- ultimately several levels, your

Honor, but the actual level has not yet been determined.

THE COURT: Well, when you say "several levels," is that still within the six-year max?

MR. GROVES: It is our understanding that that is the case. We've both done analyses under the guidelines, and I believe we're not off on that.

THE COURT: Well, it's very important, because I don't want either of you, and in particular the Rizzos, to get a misimpression, even though you've been acting in good faith, as to what the guideline levels are, because that will certainly affect the sentence that they receive. We will go through that, but the estimate should be high, as opposed to low, so that there is no misunderstanding today, because a couple of things could happen:

First of all, no matter how much they cooperated, I may not accept the plea agreement because it doesn't include the relevant conduct, and I may not also find that their conduct is substantial -- or their cooperation. You have outlined it, I suppose, as much as you can, and there are a lot of conditions and a lot of bases for potential breach and the consequences of that, but I'm really not --

01:52:01P

01:52:229

01:52:45#

01:53:061

01:53:30

2.

we'll get to that in a moment, but Mr. and Mrs. Rizzo need to know today that it's tentative.

MR. GROVES: That's correct, your Honor.

Originally we had looked at it from a term of -- with

Mr. Rizzo of approximately eight years, but when we've gone
through this, Mr. Caplan believes it's lower than that.

01:53:47P

THE COURT: Well, have you discussed it with one of the probation officers? That's what I would suggest you do.

This is what we'll do: I'll take the plea today, but then I'm going to order both of you to meet with the probation office to come up with a -- what they would suggest to me would be the relevant conduct. Now that doesn't mean I will accept it, but they are the experts and the arm of the Court. Then, when that's presented to me, I can tell both of you and the Rizzos whether or not I find that within the realm of my acceptance. Of course I will not early accept, but I can tell you at least that it's not something that I would not accept.

01:54:24F

01:54:49:

We now need to talk about the nature of the substantial cooperation.

MR. CAPLAN: Your Honor, may I address the Court with respect to the previous point for a moment?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. CAPLAN: And of course, if the Court wishes

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

01:54:59

2

3

4

5

б

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

to, I'll be happy to sit down with probation and work that

What I did in going over this and explaining it to the Rizzos was I prepared what I felt to be a worst-case scenario sentencing situation, if you went to trial and lost on every single count, and I came out with 11 years for Mr. Rizzo, and 7 years for Mrs. Rizzo, based upon conviction on all counts, enhancements for role in the offense and enhancements for obstruction of justice, and I carefully parsed out all of the obstruction and perjury charges, to make sure all of the possible enhancements were there.

01:55:42P

01:55:15P

12

In terms of the plea itself, the only enhancement -- I don't see any enhancements for Mrs. Rizzo being applicable, and possibly -- and on my research, there would be no enhancement of Mr. Rizzo for role in the offense, on a careful parsing of the guidelines. As far as obstruction, there is at least an argument that I would present to the Court that since the conduct involving obstruction is the identical conduct to the perjury charge, that it would not constitute an additional enhancement for obstruction of justice. Therefore, I feel for those reasons, your Honor, and felt comfortable in coming before the Court and saying that this would be a plea that would be, you know -- that all of the -- that the maximum

01:56:16F

01:55:57Þ

01:56:32:

2

3

4

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

01:56:47P)

01:57:061

01:57:220

sentence would certainly accommodate what they were pleading to.

THE COURT: Well, and that's exactly what I wanted to hear, that you gave them the worst-case scenario; that would be that Mr. Rizzo -- that I sentence him to 11 years, based upon the most significant, or I'd say the most serious view by this Court of his conduct.

MR. CAPLAN: For example --

THE COURT: It could be 11 years, which would be the guideline range, although the statutory range is 16 years.

Okay.

MR. CAPLAN: In addition, your Honor, in that calculation, for example, I took no deductions and things of that nature. I took a maximum four levels for role in the offense. I tried to really paint a worst-case scenario.

THE COURT: Okay. That's what I like to hear from defense counsel, because it is your obligation, although secondarily, and the government's obligation to make sure that the defendants understand the worst-case scenario.

MR. CAPLAN: Thank you, your Honor, yes. THE COURT: Okay. So we can go ahead, then,

having heard that.

01:57:331

01.:57:44

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

141

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GROVES: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: I will tell you that in my view, that would be the guideline or the maximum that I would impose on Mr. Rizzo, understanding it could be 16 years. There might be an upward departure for some reason or another.

01:58:04P

Mrs. Rizzo is a little different, because as you've said, the maximum she could serve now is --

MR. GROVES: Six years.

THE COURT: -- six years, and your calculation is that it could be more than that if she had the worst-case scenario.

01:58:25P

Q1:58:33F

12 MR. CAPLAN: That is correct.

THE COURT: And the "more than that" would be seven years?

MR. CAPLAN: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is that what I heard you --

MR. CAPLAN: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Then I will go ahead and take the pleas based upon those representations made to the Rizzos today, so that there is no misunderstanding at the time of sentencing what they could have received, and that they understand there have been no promises by counsel or the Court as to what their sentence will be.

MR. CAPLAN: That is also correct, and I also told them that during the Court's plea inquiry, I would

01:58:44

01:59:05

assume that the Court would advise them and I told them to expect that the Court would advise that the Court is not bound by our recommendations.

THE COURT: Oh, yes, I have a lengthy colloquy with counsel -- or with the defendants.

01:59:17E

Now let's talk about the cooperation. What is the nature of the cooperation?

MR. GROVES: The nature in this case, your Honor --

01:59:29P

MR. CAPLAN: May I now express a concern? Do we want to put this part of the hearing on a transcript that anybody can access? I have no problem in discussing it with your Honor, but I didn't know if this was too much detail. That's all.

01:59:43F

THE COURT: Well, that's the question I asked, whether or not it should be under seal. Ordinarily they are for the reason I stated, which is one of the criteria within 5K1.1. Not only will the transcript and these proceedings be sealed, but if there is anyone in the courtroom who should not be here, because it creates a risk, then I will ask politely that they remove themselves from the courtroom.

02:00:06:

MR. GROVES: Well, we would obviously respectfully object, your Honor, with respect to that. The plea is conditioned on it not being under seal and it being

02:00:24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

an open proceeding, because in order for them to cooperate fully, it needs to be known that in fact the plea is being entered. Now the exact precise cooperation is something that can be addressed --

02:00:40PL

THE COURT: Let me see. Hold on for a second. Why does it need to be known that the plea is -- who needs to know that?

MR. GROVES: In order for the defendants -- in order for deterrence across the United States. defendants have been involved with other individuals in a long, nationwide tax protester movement, for lack of a better word, your Honor. For their cooperation, not only does it involve potentially testifying in other upcoming trials of other individuals across the United States, but it also involves being known that in fact the pleas are being entered, and they are acknowledging that they are -that they have an obligation, like every other citizen, to file tax returns and to not disregard the law, in contrast to the presentation which the Court had seen --

02:00:54Pl

02:01:14F

18

02:01:34

THE COURT: Well, let me stop you for a second. If that is, and I understand it to be the partial

impetus for the government to enter into the plea

agreements, and that the government, and I thought

Mr. Caplan, were persuaded that there was no harm that 24

could occur to their clients or family, then it will not be |02:01:51

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

under seal.

MR. CAPLAN: That is correct. I am not concerned about harm, I just didn't know how deeply Mr. Groves wanted to go into, you know, who specifically they were cooperating against and that sort of thing.

02:02:08PL

THE COURT: I do, and I will tell you why, because again, I do not want any misunderstanding.

I'm very familiar with motions for downward departure based upon cooperation, and some are acceptable and some are not. It requires substantial cooperation. Usually the most substantial cooperation, where there is very little question about whether or not the individual received that departure, is where they act as an informant and they are at risk, where they agree to testify, which places them at risk, and those I have no problem with.

02:02:26E

Here we have the two people in this Indictment that were considered the most culpable in this scheme, and so I'm very anxious to know what you expect they are going to give the United States Government as recompense for a possible substantially less egregious sentence.

02:02:51F

MR. CAPLAN: If I may, your Honor, something that you just said triggered something in my mind: On that basis, of course, I have no objection to Mr. Groves going forward. The one thing I would like to clarify to your

02:03:18

forward. The one thing I would like to the second of the control of the second of the

02:03:33

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

risk in terms of -- he believes at the institution, or Mrs. Rizzo, if the Court should release her at his parents' home, but that is not to suggest that there is no risk, given whom he expects to be testifying against of retaliation in some form. Indeed there may definitely be that. I can go into it at another time, but the point is I didn't want to concede or suggest to your Honor that there is no physical risk, in addition to the fact that they are prepared and expect to testify in front of grand juries and at trials.

02:03:59F

02:04:19P

02:04:281

02:04:37

THE COURT: Well, the one thing that we can do is that this plea will be made public, however, the terms of the cooperation will not.

MR. CAPLAN: Fair enough.

MR. GROVES: That's fine, your Honor.

MR. CAPLAN: That would be great, your Honor.

THE COURT: But you both understand I need to pursue what that will be.

Now is there anyone in the courtroom who should not be present for this presentation?

MR. CAPLAN: No, I don't think -- just
Mr. Rizzo's parents are in here, and he has no problem with
that, your Honor. I don't know any of the folks on that
side of the courtroom.

MR. GROVES: These are all representatives of the

02:04:51

government, your Honor, that are in the court.

THE COURT: And they are representatives of the government in this case?

MR. GROVES: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Then this portion of the plea hearing will remain under seal until further order of the Court.

MR. GROVES: That's fine, your Honor.

(Interim proceedings transcribed and filed under

seal.)

02:05:02P

02:14:1

Pages 19-24 are sealed, as agreed by all parties.

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

please?

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25 THE COURT: That is absolutely perfect.

All right. For everybody in the courtroom, and David, this portion of the hearing is not under seal.

Please, Mr. Caplan, bring your client forward.

MR. CAPLAN: Do you want John or Carol first,

THE COURT: John.

MR. CAPLAN: John, please.

THE COURT: Please set forth your full name for the record.

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: John Joseph Rizzo.

THE COURT: I'm going to ask Ms. Fredlund to place you under oath.

THE CLERK: Raise your right hand.

Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give the Court in the matter now pending before it shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

02:14:34P

02:15:16F

02:15:32

for the City of Tolleson.

02:16:285

truth? 1 DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am. 3 THE CLERK: Thank you. THE COURT: You understand now that you're under 4 5 oath? 02:15:43P DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am. 6 THE COURT: And if you make any false statements, 7 8 those statements could be used against you in a separate criminal proceeding for making false statements or for 9 02:15:52P perjury. Do you understand? 10 DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, I do. 11 THE COURT: How old are you? 12 DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: I am 52 years old. 13 THE COURT: How far did you go in school? 14DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Actually I completed high 02:16:05P 15 16 school with a GED, and then went to the National Judicial 17 College in Reno, Nevada. THE COURT: What's the National Judicial College? 18 DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: That's where all people go 19 who are judges in the State of Arizona to get judicial Q2:16:17F 20 education when they hold judicial post. 21 THE COURT: And your judicial post was what? 22 DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: I was a hearing officer in 23 24 the Tolleson Justice Court and an Assistant City Magistrate

THE COURT: All right. Have you recently been or 1 2 are you now under the care of a doctor or psychiatrist? 3 DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: I am under the care of a 4 doctor right now, yes. 5 THE COURT: And for what? 02:16:39Þ DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: I have some heart 6 7 problems. While I was at CCA, they had some x-rays that show a spot on my lung, which they're not going to 9 investigate any further. I am taking -- I'm not taking 10 l today, but I'm under treatment for anxiety and depression, 02:16:52P 11 and I've taken no medications today, so that I could be 12 totally alert and would have no problems with this plea whatsoever. I made sure of that, and I spoke with the 13 doctor -- excuse me, for one second --14 15 Was it yesterday that I saw Dr. Miller? 02:17:09E 16 DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yesterday. 17 DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yesterday. I want to be 18 accurate. 19 THE COURT: So have you in the last 24 hours 20 l taken any medicine, pills, drugs, any kind of mood-altering |02:17:18E $21 \, |$ medication? DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: No, ma'am, nothing. 22 THE COURT: All right. And your mind is clear 23 24 today? 25 DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, it is. 02:17:29P

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

02:17:43P

02:17:57P

02:18:11F

02:18:17P

THE COURT: Now have you been hospitalized for any kind of psychiatric problem or emotional problem, or any type of addiction?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Nothing with regard to addiction, your Honor. No hospitalization. With regard to psychiatric problems, I did have two emergency room visits for anxiety, which was kind of chest pain things.

THE COURT: And was that recent?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: No, that was within the last -- I've been at CCA 10 months, so I'm going to say within the last three years. That certainly is an estimate, though.

THE COURT: Oh, okay. So three years ago you were hospitalized for anxiety?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Well, it was just an emergency room visit.

THE COURT: That's what I'm asking you.

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: And released, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Fine.

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: I was getting chest pains.

THE COURT: All right. Fine.

Let me ask counsel, do either of you have any reason to believe that Mr. Rizzo is not competent to enter an informed plea today?

MR. CAPLAN: None whatsoever, your Honor.

02:18:27F

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GROVES: None whatsoever, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I've been told, and I have the plea agreement in front of me, that you wish to plead guilty, pursuant to this plea agreement.

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, that's correct.

THE COURT: Is that correct?

Now have you had a full opportunity to talk with your attorney concerning what is contained in the agreement, and particularly what the consequences are of pleading guilty, and pleading to this plea agreement?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am. We spent a great part of yesterday with both myself and my wife at CCA going through this.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. CAPLAN: In addition, your Honor, if I may, this plea agreement went through numerous drafts, and there were numerous communications and other meetings with both Mr. and Mrs. Rizzo in regard to the plea agreement, the terms of the plea agreement, and very careful word-by-word analysis of both plea agreements with both defendants.

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: And your Honor, if I may add, they were both not only read to us over the telephone, but we also had possession of the plea agreements before Mr. Caplan arrived yesterday to discuss them with us, so we

02:18:39P

02:18:46P

02:18:58P

02:19:13P

4:19:27F

02:19:35P

02:19:53P

02:20:14F

l02:20:27£

did have an opportunity to read them before he came to talk to us.

THE COURT: All right. I am satisfied -DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: So we are well aware --

THE COURT: -- based upon Mr. Rizzo's answers, and based upon the thorough analysis that Mr. Caplan conducted, that you are fully informed and fully competent to enter a plea today.

Now before I accept the plea, I have to ask you quite a bit about what you did. This is intended for me to ascertain whether or not you can plead guilty, and whether you can plead guilty pursuant to this plea agreement, understanding, of course, that you must know the consequences. I will be asking you those questions, and if you have any question of me, please let me know or talk with Mr. Caplan. Will you do that?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. Now I presume that you believe that there is some benefit to you in pleading guilty, and pleading guilty pursuant to this plea agreement?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, I do believe there is some benefit to me in the long run, yes.

THE COURT: All right. Whatever benefit you believe exists is not the reason to plead guilty.

02:20:36F

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: That is correct.

THE COURT: You must plead guilty only if you are guilty and for no other reason. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: So we set the benefits aside.

02:20:45P

All right. You have certain rights, and I'm sure Mr. Caplan has told you about them, under the Constitution and the statutes of the United States Government. I'm going to go over them with you, each time asking you if you understand.

02:21:015

First of all, you're entitled to a speedy, public trial on the charges which are contained in the Superseding Indictment, and that is to occur in this district.

Throughout that trial you are presumed innocent. That means that it's the government's obligation to prove you guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. You do not have to prove

02:21:18F

guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. You do not have to pro your innocence. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, I do.

THE COURT: Now you would be, at trial, in front of a jury composed of 12 persons, and they would have to agree unanimously that you were guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you understand?

02:21:33F

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, I do.

THE COURT: And if there was a trial, your attorney could cross-examine the witnesses, and you could

02:21:45E

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

present evidence on your own behalf, if you chose to. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: And of course you would be entitled to be represented by counsel of your choice, but if you could not afford counsel, counsel would be afforded and paid for by the United States Government. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, I do.

THE COURT: All right. You would have the right to confront the witnesses that the government presents. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: You would have the right to testify, but only if you chose to. If you decided not to, your silence could not be used against you. Do you understand?

THE COURT: All right. Did you understand all of those constitutional and statutory rights as I have set them forth for you?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, I do.

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, I did understand everything that you explained to me and I'm familiar with it.

THE COURT: Okay. Now as I stated earlier, because I need to determine what you did, and whether or

02:22:20P

02:22:00P

02:22:419

02:22:50F

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

251

not what you did, that is your activities and conduct, constitutes the crimes to which you are pleading guilty, I'm going to have to ask you questions and you will have to answer those questions fully and completely, even if those questions incriminate you. Do you understand?

02:23:07P

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: And even if they tend to incriminate you. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: So are you willing, then, in entering into this plea and your plea agreement, to waive your right not to incriminate yourself?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, I am.

THE COURT: Okay. Now as you know, you were charged in the Indictment with a number of offenses, but according to this Indictment -- or to this plea agreement, you are pleading to a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 317 -- 371, Conspiracy to Defraud the United States. It is punishable by a fine of \$250,000; a maximum term in prison of five years, or both; and a three-year term of supervised release. Do you understand that?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, I do.

THE COURT: You are also pleading to a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1623, False Declarations Before a Grand Jury of the United States,

02:23:57P

02:23:30P

02:24:15F

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

punishable by a fine of \$250,000; a maximum term of imprisonment of five years, or both; and three years of supervised release. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, I do.

of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7203, Willful Failure to File a Federal Income Tax Return. That is a misdemeanor offense and is punishable by a fine of \$250,000; a term of imprisonment not to exceed one year, or both; and a term of supervised release of one year. Do you 02:24:58P understand?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

Can I ask my attorney a question very quickly?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Defendant John Rizzo confers with Mr. Caplan.)

16 MR. CAPLAN: Just to clarify, your Honor,

Mr. Rizzo wanted to know that while this is for the 2000 return, I explained to him that relevant conduct will include all of the years in the Indictment. It will not be -- I mean in the conspiracy, and not be limited to the single year in which he would be pleading.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Caplan.

You understand what Mr. Caplan has said is that although this involves one particular return, the total amount that you could have defrauded the government for

02:25:461

Q2:25:09P

02:25:30F

1.9

that return may be much less than all of the fraud in which you engaged and the cost to the government, and I must consider all, the total amount of fraud in which you engaged. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, I do. I just wanted to be sure that I was clear in my mind that the failure to file was limited to the 2000 tax year, as you were explaining it to me, without taking into consideration the relevant conduct. I understood what Mr. Caplan told me and it's clear now.

02:26:23P

02:26:30F

02:26:08P

THE COURT: Okay. You understand what relevant conduct is?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: I'm not sure why you asked that question, because if you understood the relevant conduct, I want to make sure you don't misunderstand that relevant conduct is often much broader than the particular offense to which you're pleading. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: I understand.

THE COURT: The important thing is, for my purposes in sentencing, I can find the relevant conduct based upon what I determine on my own to believe, with the assistance of the probation office, and I could sentence you to the maximum consecutive for all of these crimes, which is 16 years. Do you understand?

02:26:51F

02:27:04F

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: I understand.

THE COURT: Okay. And this particular misdemeanor also includes, as some statutes do, that you would have to pay the costs of the prosecution. Do you understand?

02:27:20P

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, I do.

THE COURT: And that's been explained to you?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes.

THE COURT: Now you are also charged with a violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(2), Aiding and Assisting in the Preparation and Presentation of a False and Fraudulent Income Tax Return, a felony offense, and that is punishable by \$250,000; a term of imprisonment of three years, or both; and a three-year term of supervised release. Do you understand?

02:27:549

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, I do.

THE COURT: Now the Court can sentence you concurrently, or it can sentence you consecutively, which means one after the other. The total amount of time that you could receive if I sentenced you consecutively, and if I sentenced you to the maximum term, is 16 years. Do you understand?

02:28:13P

02:28:23F

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, I do.

THE COURT: You are also required to make 24

restitution according to the law, and that's determined by

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the probation office. While you are on supervised release, that will have to be paid. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, I do.

THE COURT: And also the fine. I must order you to pay the fine, the costs of prosecution, if it's permitted, the costs of supervised release, unless I determine you don't have the ability to pay. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Now the supervised release here for each crime either constitutes a couple of years, or one year, or three years. All of your supervised release would be concurrent, so for all of the crimes it would be three years total. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: And you understand that supervised release is somewhat like parole, but parole has been abolished by the federal government. It means, however, that there will be restrictions on your release, and if you violate any of those restrictions, then you could be re-incarcerated, and the amount of time you could spend in re-incarceration is two years. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Now I am, as you know, required to follow the sentencing guidelines, and I know you've talked

Q2:28:36P

02:28:52P

02:29:07F

02:29:24F

02:29:38F

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

about that with Mr. Caplan, because both of you have mentioned that; is that correct?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, it is.

THE COURT: The first office that engages in the final determination of what the sentencing guidelines are is the probation office, and they seek information from you and from Mr. Groves, and any other relevant information, and they will establish what the guideline range is from their perspective. You will have an opportunity to challenge that guideline range, and the government will, too, before I make a decision. Do you understand?

02:30:13₽

02:29:58P

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, I do.

THE COURT: All right. Despite what that guideline range is, you understand that I have the right to impose a sentence above the guidelines --

02:30:34#

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, I understand.

THE COURT: -- up to 16 years.

I could also, as is contemplated in the plea agreement, reduce your sentence or guideline sentence. Do you understand?

02:30:47F

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: And I'm the one who will make that decision. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. So you understand that

02:31:015

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

25

whatever estimate you have heard as to what your sentence will be is only an estimate, and whoever gave you that estimate could be wrong. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, I do.

THE COURT: So no one can give you an assurance today, or any time before sentencing, as to what your guideline range will be or what your sentence will be. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: I'm going to now ask Mr. Caplan once again to tell the Court and tell you whether or not he estimated what your guideline range would be.

Did you?

MR. CAPLAN: Yes, I did, your Honor.

THE COURT: And when you did, did you tell

Mr. Rizzo that it was only an estimate?

17 MR. CAPLAN: Yes, I certainly did.

18 THE COURT: And you agree?

19 DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, I do.

THE COURT: All right. So you understand, if your sentence is different from what you have expected, based upon what you have been told or what you know independently, you could be wrong?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Absolutely.

THE COURT: And if the sentence that I impose is

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

02:31:32F

02:31:15P

02:31:41F

02:31:51%

02:32:081

1	different from what you expect today, or what you think	
2	you're going to agree to, you will have no right to	
3	withdraw from the plea and the plea agreement, unless my	
4	sentence is not allowed by law. Do you understand?	
5	DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, I do.	02:32:17E
6	THE COURT: Okay. Now you have the original	
7	agreement in front of you?	
8	MR. CAPLAN: Yes, your Honor.	
9	THE COURT: And I have a copy of it. I know you	
10	signed the agreement; correct?	02:32:31P
11	DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, I did.	
12	THE COURT: And did you read it before you signed	
13	it?	
14	DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, I did.	
15	THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorney	02:32:379
16	before you signed it?	
17	DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.	
18	THE COURT: And does it comprise your full	
19	understanding of your agreement with the United States	
20	Government?	02:32:45F
21	DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, it does.	
22	THE COURT: All right. Now has anyone offered	
23	you any type of inducements or threatened you or forced you	
24	to, first of all, plead guilty?	
25	DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: No, ma'am, no one has.	02:33:031

THE COURT: And with respect to entering into 1 this plea agreement, has anyone forced you to enter into 3 this plea agreement? DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: No, ma'am. 4 02:33:13P THE COURT: And you understand, too, that you 5 will waive your right to appeal within this plea agreement? 6 MR. CAPLAN: No, your Honor, we have not waived 7 our right to appeal. Very specifically in the plea 8 agreement is a provision, your Honor, if we contest the 9 issues of relevant conduct, of role in the offense, or 02:33:29F 10 enhancements for obstruction, that would not in itself be 11 lack of acceptance of responsibility and we would have a 12 right to appeal those limited things. 13 I'm sorry, I'll find that particular --14 THE COURT: No, I'm looking at it now. 02:33:511 15 16 MR. CAPLAN: I'm sorry. 17 THE COURT: That's fine. MR. GROVES: Page 8. 18 The first sentence seems inconsistent 19 THE COURT: 02:34:151 with the second. The first sentence says: 20 "The defendant is waiving his right to raise on 21 appeal or collaterally attack any matter 22 pertaining to this prosecution and sentence if 23 the sentence imposed is consistent with the terms 24 of this agreement." 02:34:281 25

1	MR. CAPLAN: And that would be true, your Honor.	
2	The second sentence is a limitation on that general waiver	
3	for the particular items that are specifically identified	
4	in the second sentence.	
5	THE COURT: Well, I guess if I were to have	02:34:50P
6	written it, I would say "any matter, except what is set	
7	forth in this section," but everybody understands what that	
8	means; okay?	
9	MR. CAPLAN: I think your Honor may be correct in	
LΟ	terms of wording, but I think	02:35:02#
11	THE COURT: Just plain old syntax. I was caught	
12	by the first sentence, and I didn't read the rest.	
13	Okey. Now you have retained your right to	
14	challenge and to appeal the guideline computations as to	
15	the amount of tax loss. Do you understand that?	02:35:18F
16	DÉFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.	
17	THE COURT: The grouping of offenses. Do you	
18	understand that?	
19	DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.	
20	THE COURT: Your role in the offense. Do you	02:35:251
21	understand that?	
22	DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.	
23	THE COURT: That will not constitute a breach of	
24	the plea agreement, and you can do that. Do you understand	
25	that?	02:35:341
	l	1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: You need to understand now, and I'm sure Mr. Caplan may have told you this, but let me tell you that we could have a hearing on that. I will make the determination of what the tax loss is, what the grouping of the offenses is and what the role in the offenses is, and it will be my final determination. Do you understand that?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: You can present evidence, and that determination is made by the standard of preponderance of the evidence, not proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Sometimes clear and convincing evidence applies, which is in between preponderance and proof beyond a reasonable doubt, but we'll leave that for later.

MR. CAPLAN: I have also, your Honor, advised both Mr. and Mrs. Rizzo that even with respect to appeal, generally the Court's findings of fact are accepted on appeal, unless clearly erroneous, and that's a rare occurrence by an appellate court. They generally restrict themselves to whether the guidelines were properly applied.

THE COURT: And I appreciate that, thank you.

That is absolutely, unequivocally the law. There will be

02:35:54F

02:36:06₽

02:36:16P

02:36:31F

02:36:461

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

findings of fact, but if I made a mistake on the law, then the Ninth Circuit can review it de novo, which means all over again. Is that clear?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. The government has a right to appeal on any issue, and you're waiving the right for an award of attorney's fees or other litigation expenses that may be available pursuant to public law, and that is federal law; is that correct?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

02:37:36P

02:37:13P

THE COURT: Okay. Now we have talked about this quite a bit, but let me make it clear: If I reject this agreement for any reason, other than I am rejecting the agreement between you and the United States Government, and that rejection is legal, you will not have an opportunity to withdraw. Do you understand?

02:38:04P

02:38:13P

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: I'm not --

THE COURT: I'll explain it.

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Okay. Please.

THE COURT: The agreement has provisions in it, and they are stipulated between you and Mr. Groves.

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes.

THE COURT: If I do not accept the agreement, because I do not agree to your stipulations, then you will have a right to withdraw --

02:38:25P

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: I understand.

THE COURT: -- and go to trial, or renegotiate, whatever you wish. If I do not accept this agreement, and that has nothing to do with your stipulations with the government, you do not have a right to withdraw. Do you understand now?

02:38:43P

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, I do.

THE COURT: I am not sure. I have yet to have that occur.

Actually on one occasion it did occur, and it 02:38:50P was -- there is no use in my telling you what it was, but there was an odd circumstance that occurred after the plea

was taken, and I knew I had to not accept the agreement. 13

It was not -- it had nothing to do with a stipulation 14

between the defendant and counsel. That's what I mean. 15

MR. CAPLAN: I guess I'm a little confused, your If the Court were to not accept the agreement

because of one of these provisions that, at a later point 18

19 in time -- I'm --

> THE COURT: No, no, one of the provisions -- it may be independent of the provisions that I feel, as a matter of law, I can't accept the agreement, which has nothing to do with your stipulations concerning what your client is going to do and what the potential sentence will

be, based upon your agreements which have been tailored for 25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

02:39:16

02:39:29P

02:39:51P

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

understand?

02:39:57E

02:40:23 P.

02:40:38P

02:40:50P

this case. Do you understand?

MR. CAPLAN: Okay.

THE COURT: Do you understand?

MR. CAPLAN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Now the government's going to make a recommendation as to what your sentence will be, and you will make a recommendation in consultation with your lawyer, but you understand, once again, that I have complete power and authority to disregard the government's recommendation for a downward departure, the government's recommendation for what your sentence should be, and your recommendation and your attorney's recommendation for what your sentence will be. Do you

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, I do.

THE COURT: If that is all, if those recommendations are all within the parameters of this agreement, you will not have an opportunity to withdraw your plea or the plea agreement. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: I understand.

THE COURT: Mr. Caplan, do you know of any valid defense that would apply, or any other reason why your client should not plea and plead pursuant to this plea agreement?

MR. CAPLAN: Your Honor, I don't know of any

02:41:14P

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

46

reason why my client should not plead to this plea agreement.

THE COURT: That's all you have to say.

MR. CAPLAN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Now you need to tell me what you did that constitutes the charges in the plea agreement. Let's start with the elements.

First of all, let me ask the government to set forth what the elements are for each of the offenses.

MR. GROVES: Yes, your Honor. As contained in the plea agreement, beginning on page 10, the first count --

THE COURT: Mr. Rizzo and Mr. Caplan, you may follow along.

MR. CAPLAN: Certainly.

MR. GROVES: Under Count 1, Conspiracy to Defraud the United States Government:

First, the government must prove that there existed a conspiracy, agreement or understanding to defraud the United States by dishonest and deceitful means for the purpose of impeding, impairing, obstructing and defeating the lawful governmental functions of the United States Department of Treasury, Internal Revenue Service, in the ascertainment, computation and collection of income taxes as described in the Indictment, was formed, reached or

02:41:22P

02:42:07P

02:42:19P

02:42:30P

02:42:47F

1 |

2

3 l

4

5

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

entered into by two or more persons;

Second, at some point during the existence or life of the conspiracy, agreement, or understanding, one of its members knowingly performed one of the overt acts charged in the Indictment, in order to further or advance the purpose of the agreement; and,

02:43:02P

Third, at some point during the existence of the agreement or understanding, the defendant knew the purpose of the agreement and then deliberately joined in the conspiracy, agreement, or understanding.

02:43:16P

That's as to Count 1. Does the Court wish me to go on?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. GROVES: As to Count 2 of the Superseding
Indictment, False Declarations Before a Grand Jury of the
United States:

02:43:28F

02:43:39F

First, the government must prove that the defendant testified under oath before a Grand Jury, federal Grand Jury;

Second, the testimony was false; and,

Third, the defendant knew the testimony was false, and material to a matter before the Grand Jury.

Willful Failure to File an Income Tax Return:

First, the tax code or some regulation thereunder required the defendant to make a tax return reporting gross

2:43:531

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

income, and any deductions and credits for the calendar year, in this case, ending December 31st, 2000;

Second, defendant failed to make and file the tax return to the Internal Revenue Service on or before April 15th, 2001; and,

02:44:10P

Third, the defendant's failure to file the income tax return was willful.

The fourth count the defendant is pleading guilty to, which is Count 18 of the Superseding Indictment, is Aiding and Assisting in the Filing of a False Income Tax Return:

02:44:23P

First, the government must prove that the
defendant aided and assisted in the preparation of a tax

14 return, affidavit, claim or other document;

Second, the return, affidavit, claim or other document contained a materially false statement; and

02:44:33F

Third, the defendant's actions were willful.

THE COURT: You understand that those are the elements the government would have to prove at the time of trial?

02:44:49E

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. There is a recitation of a variety of different facts set forth, starting on page 11, 12, 13, and part of 14, which I believe is meant to set forth all of your activities that constitute the offenses

02:45:161

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

49

in this case; is that correct?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

MR. CAPLAN: Your Honor, just, again, for clarification, they don't necessarily set forth all of the activities, because that might have, you know, been very lengthy, but they set forth activities which are sufficient to constitute guilt to each of the offenses. I'm thinking particularly of the conspiracy to defraud.

THE COURT: Okay. And counsel?

MR. GROVES: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: And you have read and essentially sworn under oath that these facts are accurate?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. CAPLAN: There is one fact and only one fact where I think I should put something on the record, and this is the level to which we have been parsing this out, your Honor.

If your Honor will look at page 12, lines 20 through 23 --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. CAPLAN: -- with regard to the offshore bank accounts, I think that this is accurate, however, we did want to clarify that with regard to the Ansbacher Bank in Nassau, which is one of the offshore accounts, the Rizzos

02:45:**2**9P

02:45:44P

02:45:55F

02:46:12F

02:46:301

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

intended to open an account there. However, the person that was supposed to be opening that account apparently never did and stole some money from them, but they did have an intent to open that bank account. I just wanted to clarify that it may never have actually been opened on the books of the Ansbacher Bank, but forms were filled out, purportedly, to do that.

02:46:52P

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Is that correct, Mr. Rizzo?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, it is.

02:47:09

THE COURT: All right. With respect to -- well, let me ask you this: Having now read the recitation of what the factual basis is, did you, when you committed those acts and engaged in those activities that may well be fulsome later, did you know what you were doing was wrong

02:47:26%

16 and illegal?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: The answer is yes.

THE COURT: All right. To the first count, which is a violation of 18, United States Code, Section 317, Conspiracy to --

02:47:491

MR. CAPLAN: 371, your Honor, I'm sorry.

THE COURT: 371 again. I'm going to be saying that for the rest of my career now.

MR. GROVES: My apologies, your Honor.

MR. CAPLAN: Mine as well, your Honor. I should

02:48:031

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

02:48:10P

02:48:20P

02:48:38P

02:48:55E

have caught that also.

THE COURT: That's all right.

-- Conspiracy to Defraud the United States Government, guilty or not guilty?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Guilty, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And for the violation of 18, United States Code, Section 1623, Making False Declarations Before a Grand Jury of the United States, do you plead guilty or not guilty?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Guilty, your Honor.

THE COURT: For the violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7203, Willful Failure to File a Federal Income Tax Return, do you plead guilty or not guilty?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Guilty, your Honor.

THE COURT: For a violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(2), Aiding and Abetting in the Preparation and Presentation of a False Or Fraudulent Income Tax Return, do you plead guilty or not guilty?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Guilty, your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Now are you pleading guilty of your 22 own free will?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: And are you pleading guilty because you are guilty?

02:49:021

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 l

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: And is this a voluntary plea?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. Because you have now acknowledged that you are guilty to all the charges set forth in the Superseding Indictment --

02:49:10P

MR. CAPLAN: Not all of the charges, these charges.

THE COURT: All the charges set forth in the plea agreement --

02:49:21Þ

MR. CAPLAN: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: -- represented by the Superseding Indictment, and you know your rights and you have waived them here today voluntarily, and that the counts to which you are pleading have an adequate factual basis, I'm going to accept your plea today, and you understand, for the reasons previously stated, I could decide not to accept your plea agreement. If I don't accept your plea agreement based upon a disagreement with your stipulations with the government, you will have an opportunity to withdraw. Do you understand?

02:49:34F

Q2:49:55E

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: I believe I do, yes.

THE COURT: Well, if you have any question --

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Can I ask the Court very

25 | quickly?

02:50:041

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Sure.

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: You're saying that you're accepting my agreement today --

THE COURT: No, I'm accepting only the plea today.

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Plea, okay.

THE COURT: I am taking the plea agreement under advisement.

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: That plea agreement will be resolved at the time of sentencing.

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Okay.

THE COURT: Now I went through some detail in discussing the requirements under this plea agreement and the factors with could affect your sentence, such as relevant conduct --

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes.

THE COURT: -- in order to ascertain where you were and where the government was, so there was no misunderstanding that you are, to some extent, taking a risk by going forward and cooperating. It's going to be the government's hope that you do everything that they ask you to do, so that they can come before the Court and move for a downward departure, and sometimes request a certain type of sentence, but I need to let you know that I may not

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

02:50:11F

02:50:29F

02:50:411

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

14

16

17

18

19

20

25

54

02:51:189

02:51:33P

02:51:44%

02:52:001

agree, and that would be a reason for me to not accept the plea agreement. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: I understand now, thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Also I need to hear from the probation office before I sentence you, as do you and the United States Government. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. We have this set for

10 trial?

THE CLERK: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. The trial date is vacated, and your sentencing will be set six months from today.

THE CLERK: Monday, September 27th at 1:00

15 o'clock.

THE COURT: In the meantime, the probation office will prepare that report, and I strongly urge you to participate with them, and participate honestly and fully, because your participation will certainly affect what sentence I give you. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

22 THE COURT: Okay.

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Once again, can I ask the

24 Court a question?

THE COURT: Yes.

02:52:10

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Can you tell me when the 1 2 probation department will do that? MR. CAPLAN: We'll work that out. 3 THE COURT: You can work that out, and frankly, 4 the probation office is not going to be interested in 02:52:16P 5 working on this while you are cooperating. б DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: That was my question. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Thank you. 9 THE COURT: I think I didn't listen well, Sandi; 02:52:24P 10 11 six months? THE CLERK: I gave it September 27th. 12 THE COURT: September 27th. 13 All right. You are continued to be remanded to 14 the custody of the United States Marshal until sentencing. 02:52:33# 15 DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am. 16 THE COURT: Now you understand I didn't go 17 through the terms of the plea agreement because I'm very 18 satisfied by the answers and colloquy I've had with your 19 counsel that you fully understand what the nature of your 02:52:46% 20 cooperation is in terms of what your activities and conduct 21 will be? 22 DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am. 23 THE COURT: All right. Then we are finished with 24 02:53:081 this one? 25

MR. GROVES: Yes, we are, your Honor. MR. CAPLAN: Yes, your Honor. May I approach the 2 3 Court with the original? 4 THE COURT: Yes, thank you, and it will be filed, 5 filed under seal. 02:53:18P б MR. GROVES: No, your Honor, we would ask that it 7 not be filed under seal. THE COURT: You're right, this should not be 8 9 filed under seal, and the cooperation does not need to be 10 redacted? 02:53:28P 11 MR. GROVES: That's correct, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then we are still in open 12 13 court, unsealed. Mrs. Rizzo. 14 All right. Please set forth your full name for 15 02:54:03P 16 the record. DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Carol Ann Rizzo. 17 18 THE COURT: Ms. Fredlund, would you please place 19 her under oath. THE CLERK: Raise your right hand. 20 02:54:12P 21 Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give the Court in the matter now pending before it 23 shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? 24 25 DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes. 02:54:23P

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CLERK: Thank you.

THE COURT: Now you are under oath. Do you understand that if you make any false statements, that your false statements could be used against you in a separate proceeding for making false statements or for perjury?

02:54:291

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Now how old are you?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Fifty-eight

THE COURT: And how far did you go in school?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: I graduated high school.

02:54:38F

THE COURT: And have you ever been employed, and if so, when was the most recent employment, other than anything that relates to the criminal charges?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: I worked for the La Bello & La Bello law firm as a secretary, and that was approximately five years ago.

02:54:55F

THE COURT: Okay. Have you recently been under the care or are you now under the care of a doctor or psychiatrist?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: No, I'm not.

02:55:09F

THE COURT: And have you ever been treated or hospitalized for any kind of mental or emotional disorder, or for an addiction?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: Have you had any medicine, pills or

02:55:19F

1	alcohol in the last 24 hours?	
2	DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Two Tylenol Extra	
3	Strength.	
4	THE COURT: Okay. And those do not affect your	
5	cognitive ability?	02:55:33P
6	DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: No, ma'am.	
7	THE COURT: All right. Do either of the	
8	defendants or either counsel have any reason to believe	
9	that Mrs. Rizzo is not competent to enter an informed plea?	
10	MR. CAPLAN: No reason whatsoever, your Honor.	02:55:45Þ
11	MR. GROVES: No reason whatsoever, your Honor.	
12	THE COURT: Okay. And you do wish to plead	
13	guilty pursuant to the plea agreement; correct?	
14	DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes.	
15	THE COURT: Have you had an a full opportunity to	02:55:54F
16	talk with Mr. Caplan about the consequences of entering	
17	into this plea agreement?	
18	DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, I have.	
19	THE COURT: And are you satisfied with his	
20	representation of you?	02:56:02₽
21	DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.	
22	THE COURT: He's done a good job for you?	
23	DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.	
24	THE COURT: All right. Now I find, based upon	
25	your answers and the certifications from counsel, that you	02:56:10F
		1

2.

3

4

5

б

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18:

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are fully informed to enter a plea.

Now again, you've heard me tell your husband this, and that is that I need to ask you a variety of questions, in order to assure myself that you understand what you're doing when you plead guilty, and you understand the terms of this plea agreement. I will need to advise you of the various rights you are going to have to give up in pleading guilty. If you have any questions as I proceed, please let me know or talk with Mr. Caplan. Will you do that?

02:56:43P

02:56:24P

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Now I expect that you believe that there is some benefit for your pleading guilty, pursuant to this agreement?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

02:56:53P

02:57:02F

THE COURT: But you understand that you cannot plead guilty based upon a benefit. You can only plead guilty if you are guilty. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: So you have to set aside any benefit that you seek.

There are certain constitutional and statutory rights that you have. I'm going to tell you them one at a time. If you have any questions, please ask me.

You are entitled to a speedy trial before a jury,

02:57:14F

a jury chosen from this district. Do you understand? 1 2 DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: You would be presumed innocent, and 3 that means that you would not have to prove your innocence. 4. It would be the government's complete obligation to prove 5 02:57:29P you innocent beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you understand? 6 7 MR. CAPLAN: Guilty. THE COURT: Prove you guilty. You would hope 8 9 that they would prove you innocent. MR. CAPLAN: I would, too, your Honor. 10 02:57:40P THE COURT: All right. So we can just stop there 11 and -- that was probably a surprise that you enjoyed. 12 sorry I have to change that. 13 MR. CAPLAN: I apologize. 14 THE COURT: That's okay. The government would 15 02:57:52P 16 have to prove you guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you 17 understand? DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, ma'am. 18 THE COURT: And the jury would have to agree 19 unanimously that you were guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Q2:58:02F 20 21 Do you understand? DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, ma'am. 22 THE COURT: At that trial you would be entitled 23 to have an attorney represent you, and if you couldn't 24 afford an attorney, one would be appointed for you free of 02:58:15F 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

rights?

61

cost. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: And at the trial you would be able to confront the witnesses, and your attorney could cross-examine them, and you could call your own witnesses on your behalf, but there would be no obligation for you to do so because you would not have to prove yourself innocent. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Now you could testify at the trial, but that's only if you chose to. No one could force you to testify. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Now did you understand all of those

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, I did.

17 THE COURT: Do you have any questions of me?

18 DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: No, I don't.

19 THE COURT: Now because I'll have to ask you what

20 you did, in order to determine whether or not you are

21 guilty of the offenses to which you wish to plead, you will

22 have to incriminate yourself, and that means you will have

23 to waive your right to incriminate yourself -- not

24 incriminate yourself. Are you willing to waive your right

25 not to incriminate yourself?

02:59:14F

02:58:41P

02:58:52P

02:58:56P

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 l

19

20

21

22 l

23

24

25

02:59:26F

02:59:52P

03:00:09P

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. Now there are many charges in the Indictment, but you are pleading to the following charges:

First of all, a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371, Conspiracy to Defraud the United States, which carries a maximum prison -- imprisonment term of five years; a \$250,000 fine, or both; and a three-year period of supervised release. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes.

THE COURT: You are also pleading to a violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7203, Willful Failure to File a Federal Income Tax Return, a misdemeanor offense. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes.

THE COURT: That's punishable by a maximum fine of \$250,000 and an imprisonment term of not to exceed one year. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And you understand that those maximum 03:00:22P terms are terms that I can impose consecutively, which means one after the other. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: So the maximum amount of your sentence could be six years.

03:00:37P

MR. CAPLAN: Your Honor, may I get some water for 1 2 Mrs. Rizzo for a moment? 3 THE COURT: Oh, sure. MR. CAPLAN: Thank you. 4 Thank you, your Honor. 03:00:58P 5 THE COURT: There is also a requirement that I 6 impose a special assessment, and that special assessment is in the amount of \$125. 8 9 DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: I'm not sure that I said that to 03:01:09P 10 Mr. Rizzo. 11 MR. GROVES: I don't recall it, your Honor. 12 MR. CAPLAN: I don't either, but I did explain 13 that to Mr. Rizzo; that there was a special assessment that 14 would have to be paid before sentencing. 03:01:21F 15 THE COURT: Okay. He is still here, and 16 Mr. Rizzo, just to make sure you understand, you have -- I 17 have an obligation at the time of sentencing to, without 18 any discretion, impose a special assessment for each of the 19 crimes to which you are pleading guilty. Do you 03:01:37F 20 21 understand? DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am, I do. 22 THE COURT: And that total amount would be, 23 24 Mr. Groves? MR. GROVES: Three hundred twenty-five dollars, 25 Q3:01:46F

03/05/2004 10:48 7085961920 PAGE 10 BILL BENSON 64 your Honor. 1 2 MR. CAPLAN: How much? 3 THE COURT: Three hundred twenty-five dollars. 4 Do you understand? 5 MR. CAPLAN: One hundred twenty-five each? 03:01:51P MR. GROVES: No, your Honor, 6 THE COURT: Total. 7 MR. GROVES: It's 325 total --8 9 THE COURT: Total. MR. GROVES: -- because he's pleading to three 03:01:55P 10 felonies and one misdemeanor. 11 MR. CAPLAN: Okay. Right. 12 THE COURT: Right. It's \$325 total, and it can't 13 14 be less than that. That would be the amount. Do you 15 understand? 03:02:06P DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Yes, ma'am. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. You understand, then, or having understood that, will that in any way change your 18 decision to plead guilty as you have? 19 DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: Oh, no. 03:02:15P 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 MR. CAPLAN: Thank you, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to ask the 23 24 government to set forth the elements of the offenses to

03:02:27₽

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

which Mrs. Rizzo is going to plead guilty.

MR. GROVES: As contained on page 10 of the plea agreement, if this were to proceed to trial, the United States would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, under Conspiracy to Defraud the United States, that there existed a conspiracy, agreement or understanding to defraud the United States by dishonest or deceitful -- and deceitful means, for the purpose of impeding, impairing, obstructing and defeating the lawful governmental functions of the United States Department of Treasury, Internal Revenue Service, in the ascertainment, computation and collection of income taxes as described in the Indictment, was formed, reached or entered into by two or more persons;

03:02:57P

03:02:41P

Second, at some point during the existence or life of the conspiracy, agreement, or understanding, one of

03:03:23F

16 its members --

THE REPORTER: Mr. Groves, can you start with "second," please.

MR. GROVES: Yes.

Second, at some point during the existence or life of the conspiracy, agreement or understanding, one of its members knowingly performed one of the overt acts charged in the Indictment, in order to further advance the purpose of the agreement; and,

Third, at some time during the existence of the

03:03:35%

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 l

03:03:50P

03:04:07P

03:04:23₽

03:04:34F

agreement or understanding, the defendant knew the purpose of the agreement and then deliberately joined in the conspiracy, agreement or understanding.

The second charge, Count 12 of the Superseding

The second charge, Count 12 of the Superseding Indictment, the United States would have to prove, under Willful Failure to File an Income Tax Return:

First, that the tax code or some regulation thereunder required the defendant to make a tax return reporting gross income, and any deductions and credits, in this case for the calendar year ending December 31st, 2000;

Second, the defendant failed to make and file the tax return to the Internal Revenue Service on or before April 15th, 2001; and,

Third, the defendant's failure to file the income tax return was willful.

THE COURT: All right. Mrs. Rizzo, did you understand all the elements that have been set forth by Mr. Groves?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You understand it would be the obligation of the government to prove all of those elements beyond a reasonable doubt at trial?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And I presume you discussed those elements with Mr. Caplan?

03:04:42F

25

03:05:450

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Now let me tell you something about 3 supervised release: Supervised release is always concurrent, and it's 4 up to three years of supervised release. During that time 5 03:04:53P 6 you would be on restriction, and if you violated the 7 restrictions, then you would be back in court for a violation of your supervised release, and I could sentence 8 you up to two years in prison. Do you understand? 9 DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor. 7.0 03:05:09P THE COURT: And you understand that I have to 11 order you to pay a fine, the cost of probation or 12 13 supervision and incarceration and restitution, unless I find that you do not have the ability to pay. Do you 14 understand? 75 03:05:26P DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Now I must follow the 17 18 sentencing guidelines. You understand that? 19 DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: And you've talked to Mr. Caplan about 03:05:34P 20 21 those guidelines? DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: And we have talked here today about 23

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

potentially the maximum guidelines. You understand that

what is potentially the maximum sentence, what is

the probation office first assesses and recommends what they believe the guidelines are. Do you understand that?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You and Mr. Caplan and Mr. Groves will have an opportunity to review that report, object to it, and offer your belief on what your guidelines should be.

03:06:01P

7 be

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Now you understand also that if the guidelines are still within the six years, that I can depart above those guidelines, if I find a basis to do so under the law?

03:06:15F

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: I can also depart below those guidelines if I find a basis to do so under the law.

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: But any estimate -- and Mr. Caplan will now set forth for me whether or not he has made an estimate as to what your sentence or guideline range will be.

03:06:47F

03:06:34F

MR. CAPLAN: Yes, your Honor. I told Mrs. Rizzo that while the maximum would be the six years, that I expected, under the guidelines, her sentencing range, without a motion for substantial assistance downward departure, would be in the neighborhood of about three

03:07:021

1	years, your Honor.	
2	THE COURT: And you understand that was an	
3	estimate?	
4	DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.	
5	THE COURT: You still could receive a sentence of	03:07:11P
6	up to six years.	
7	DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.	
8	THE COURT: All right. Now I have a copy of the	
9	plea agreement. Do you have the original in front of you?	
10	MR. CAPLAN: Yes, your Honor, I do.	03:07:18F
11	THE COURT: And Mrs. Rizzo, did you sign the	
12	agreement?	
13	DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, I did, your Honor.	
14	THE COURT: Now did you read it before you signed	
15	it?	03:07:28₽
16	DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, I did.	
17	THE COURT: And did you discuss it with your	
18	attorney before you signed it?	
19	DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.	
20	THE COURT: Do you fully understand this plea	03:07:33F
21	agreement?	
22	DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.	
23	THE COURT: Do you understand it to be your	
24	complete agreement with the United States Government?	
25	DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.	03:07:41F

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. You can --

MR. CAPLAN: May I also say, your Honor, that Mrs. Rizzo participated equally with Mr. Rizzo in terms of the negotiation of this plea agreement and the line-by-line addressing of it all, so she is totally familiar.

03:07:52P

Is that correct, Mrs. Rizzo? THE COURT: Okay. DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, it is, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Now on page 8 there are special provisions about what rights you have to raise issues on appeal. Essentially it says you have no rights in the first paragraph, but that is conditioned upon what is contained in the -- when I say "first paragraph," I mean the first sentence of the first paragraph, and that's conditioned upon what is contained in the remainder of this section. It says you have the right to challenge and appeal the guideline computations as to the tax loss, grouping of offenses, role in the offenses, and such

03:08:08F

03:08:25F

17

challenge and appeal are not a breach of the agreement.

19 you understand?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, I do, your Honor.

03:08:47F

THE COURT: The United States Government can appeal any portion of the agreement -- or of the sentencing or this agreement, if there is a basis to do so. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.

03:09:061

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

71

THE COURT: And you have waived here the right to an award of attorney's fees, pursuant to the public law, which is set forth in this agreement; is that correct?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So you know that if I accept the terms of this agreement, as you have worked them out for the United States Government or with the government, you will have no right to withdraw from the plea or the plea agreement. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: But if I do not accept the portions of the agreement that you have entered into with the United States Government, you will have a right to appeal.

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Excuse me, to withdraw.

There are narrow circumstances upon which I can determine not to accept the plea, not to accept the plea agreement, which have nothing to do with the stipulations. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Caplan, do you know of any valid defense that would apply in this case, or any reason why your client should not plead guilty?

MR. CAPLAN: I certainly don't know of any reason why she should not plead guilty. Again, if we had to go to

03:09:30⊅

03:09:43₽

03:09:59P

03:10:16Þ

::10:311

2

3

4

5

6

Ż.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

trial, we could produce colorable defenses, but...

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Now I'm going to ask you what you did, as I said before, and here you are waiving expressly your right not to incriminate yourself.

03:10:48P

Now on page 10, 11, and 12 there are a variety of facts which are set forth which constitute the two violations to which you are pleading; is that correct?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.

MR. CAPLAN: Again, your Honor, if I may, I apologize for interrupting, but it's with the same caveat as to that bank in the Bahamas, the Ansbacher Bank, which is at the top of page 12, line 3. I would make the same representation there; that is, that they intended to open an account there, but it never got opened.

03:11:37P

03:11:49F

03:11:09P

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Is that correct?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, it is, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Now when you committed these acts and engaged in this conduct, did you know what you were doing was wrong and illegal?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: How do you plead to Conspiracy to

Defraud the United States, as set forth in the Superseding

Indictment?

Q3:12:02F

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Guilty.

THE COURT: How do you plead to Willful Failure to File an Income Tax Return, as set forth in the Superseding Indictment?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Guilty, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you need some more water?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yeah, I have a dry spot

8 in my throat.

MR. CAPLAN: I'll get you some more.

THE COURT: Now are you pleading guilty because

11 you are guilty?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And are you doing so voluntarily?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Of your own free will?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Now because you have acknowledged

18 that you are guilty as charged in these counts, and you

19 have expressed that you know your rights and you have

20 voluntarily waived those rights, and that there is an

21 essential factual basis for each of the charges, I'm going

22 to accept your plea, the plea agreement, and whether or not

23 I will accept that plea agreement is deferred for six

24 months, when your sentencing will occur. At that time I

25 | will have ascertained, based upon all the information

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

03:12:11P

03:12:31F

03:12:37F

03:12:511

03:13:121

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q3:13:32P

03:13:39F

03:13:571

{03:14:21F

03:14:23!

presented to me by you, Mr. Caplan, Mr. Groves and the probation office, whether or not I should accept the plea agreement. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And you will not have a right to withdraw if I accept the plea agreement based upon the terms you have reached with the United States Government.

Do you understand?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. The date for the trial is vacated, and the probation office is going to prepare the presentence report. It will likely not be prepared until there is a date certain set for trial. We are going to — excuse me, for the sentencing. We are going to defer that sentencing, pursuant to the plea agreement, for six months, and the sentencing will occur on what date?

THE CLERK: September 27th at 1:00 o'clock.

THE COURT: September 27th at 1:00 o'clock.

All right. Now we will need to -- yes?

MR. CAPLAN: I was just going to approach the bench with the plea.

THE COURT: Yes, you can.

We will undertake the question of whether or not Mrs. Rizzo should be released.

MR. GROVES: If the Court might, there is just

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

75

one other issue I wanted to put on the record, so there isn't a later issue.

On January 14th, when we were before Magistrate Sitver at the arraignment on the Superseding, there was a hearing and a full inquiry of both defendants as to the joint representation by Mr. Caplan. I just wanted that to be clear.

03:14:34P

THE COURT: I was thinking about raising that, and I'm glad that you told me that. From the beginning of this case, knowing what's contained in the Indictment, I did not believe that there was any problem, particularly because both of them have agreed to cooperate.

03:14:55P

Let me ask Mr. Rizzo and Mrs. Rizzo, do you recall the colloquy you had with Judge Sitver --

03:15:14P

MR. CAPLAN: Do you remember the --

THE COURT: -- about whether or not --

MR. CAPLAN: -- conflict of interest?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Oh, yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. If there was a conflict, or a potential conflict, that you voluntarily waived it and have no problem or issue with Mr. Rizzo -- with Mr. Caplan representing you?

3:15:24F

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: No, there is no problem, vour Honor.

THE COURT: And Mr. Rizzo, the same?

03:15:38£

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

191

20

21

22

23

24

25

it.

03:15:571

03:16:15P

03:15:40P

DEFENDANT JOHN RIZZO: No problem.

THE COURT: All right. Now we will undertake the issue of whether or not Mrs. Rizzo should be released.

I have received the motion for release and also the evaluation made by pretrial services, and that is Mr. Mel Hernandez. Have you both received that recent report?

MR. CAPLAN: Yes, your Honor, and we've reviewed

MR. GROVES: Yes, we have, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I have some concerns. I am inclined to allow Mrs. Rizzo to be released on her own recognizance, but with substantial limitations, and that is that she must be in home confinement with electronic monitoring, to be determined by the probation office, and that you are to report to the pretrial services office as directed. They will tell you, and it is always your obligation to make sure that you have reported, even if

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: And you are going to be released to the third party custody of John Ross Rizzo and Marion Rizzo, who I believe are your mother and father-in-law, who are in the courtroom?

MR. CAPLAN: Yes, your Honor.

somebody is not there. Do you understand?

03:17:19F

03:17:04P

THE COURT: (Statement Under Seal.)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

Let me ask them, and they can merely nod their heads, but do you agree to this third-party custody, which means that essentially you have some obligations to insure that she is in compliance with the law, and in particular, that she will appear at all necessary proceedings?

MR. JOHN ROSS RIZZO: We do.

THE COURT: And you do?

MRS. MARION RIZZO: Yes.

03:17:55P

03:18:06P

03:17:38FI

THE COURT: All right. You are not to travel outside of Arizona unless the Court gives you permission.

Do you understand?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: And you are not to -- you shall refrain from the possession of a firearm, destructive device, or any other dangerous weapon or ammunition. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And you are to seek and maintain employment, and you are to do so immediately.

Now obviously you can't be employed when you're on house arrest and you are electronically monitored, but the probation office will work that out for you. Do you understand?

03:18:18F

03:18:41F

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor. 1 THE COURT: And you --2 MR. CAPLAN: Your Honor --3 THE COURT: And you --4 MR. CAPLAN: I'm sorry, may I just interrupt? 03:18:43후 5 Mr. Rizzo wanted to ask me a question for just a moment? 6 THE COURT: Sure. 7 MR. CAPLAN: Thank you. 8 MR. GROVES: There is one caveat to that, your 9 Honor. We would obviously ask that the employment not have Q3:18:53P 10 anything to do with the nature of what was contained in the 11 Indictment, which is Millennium Publishing, which is the 12 entity in which they earned --13 THE COURT: Which publishing? 14 MR. GROVES: Millennium Publishing, which is the 15 entity that they were involved in during that time. 16 MR. CAPLAN: I'm sorry, could you --17 THE COURT: Is that an ongoing business? 18 Since MR. GROVES: At this point no, your Honor. 19 the defendants have been incarcerated, not to our 03:19:19% 20 knowledge. However, if the release is being made, then 21 clearly that may happen, and we're trying to prevent that. 22 THE COURT: All right. That will be made clear 23 to the probation office; that you are not to have any type 24 of employment or any type of activities in connection with 03:19:31: 25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13|

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Millennium --

MR. GROVES: Publishing.

THE COURT: -- Publishing Company.

MR. GROVES: We would also ask that she have no employment or involvement with any anti-tax or tax protester type of organization, or that that would not be the nature of the employment.

MR. CAPLAN: We certainly have no problem with that, your Honor.

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: No problem.

THE COURT: Okay. And you are to surrender your passport. Do you have a passport?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And you are not to obtain another one during the pendency of these proceedings. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: I understand.

THE COURT: Now there are some standard requirements that you must abide by, and those are set forth in General Order 99-9 in a document. You will need to read all of those requirements, the standard requirements, and then sign the document that you agree to be -- to comply with them. If you have any question, Mr. Caplan will answer that question, or the probation officer will answer that question. Do you understand?

03:19:44P

03:19:52P

Q3:20:03P

03:20:141

03:20:371

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. We do not have a probation officer here today, and so release will not occur today. It will occur only after the probation officer has had an opportunity to discuss with you the terms of the -- of your release, and also that you sign the release.

03:20:53P

Now if this can be accomplished before the end of the day, that's fine with me. If it cannot, then you will have to be here tomorrow, and we will take care of it at that time.

03:21:17P

MR. CAPLAN: Your Honor, if I may, I believe -- and we've spoken with Mr. Hernandez -- that he has everything ready to go, including the electronic monitoring bracelet to be affixed today, with the Court's permission.

03:21:31F

THE COURT: Okay. I have no problem, if it can be done today, but in talking to Mr. Hernandez --

MR. CAPLAN: Oh, I'm sorry. Maybe I didn't

18 understand --

THE COURT: -- he's not here today, and that's the problem. I understand he is attending to other matters. You can call him, and I have no disagreement with or objection to having it accomplished today, but I have every objection to Mrs. Rizzo being released without that process occurring, so there is no misunderstanding about the obligations she has.

03:21:43E

03:22:07%

MR. CAPLAN: All right. There is one other --1 two other matters just briefly, if I may, your Honor. 21 First is could we strike from the record the 3 specific address where Mrs. Rizzo is residing, so that 4 wouldn't be in the public record? 03:22:22P 5 THE COURT: Yes, yes, we will. We will strike it 6 from the record. That will be under seal. It will not be 7 stricken from the pretrial services report. 8 MR. CAPLAN: No, I understand that, of course. 9 03:22:35P THE COURT: Those are confidential anyway. 10 All right. Are there any other conditions that 11 the United States Government believes should be complied 12 13 with? MR. GROVES: None that we're aware of, your 14 03:22:47P 15 Honor. THE COURT: Okay. 16 MR. CAPLAN: I just had two questions, your 17 Honor, if I may. 18 THE COURT: Yes. 19 MR. CAPLAN: First of all, to clarify, while it 03:22:51F 20 is house arrest, may she leave the residence with the prior 21 permission of the pretrial services officer? 22 THE COURT: Probation, yes, and the other thing 23 is this: There is another condition, which I'm sure the 24 government believes is necessary, and that is that as a 03:23:09E 25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

part of your agreement, you are to cooperate. Do you understand?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: There will have to be some interfacing with the United States Government, in order for you to conduct your cooperation and engage in the activities that they need you to engage in, in order to fulfill that portion of the agreement. Do you understand that?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Now in that sense, Mr. Groves, pretrial services, if you wish her to do something that is really contrary to the agreement she has, then you take the a risk with your agents in insuring that she is within the parameters of the law and is not engaging in anything illegal.

MR. GROVES: If I may inquire, your Honor, with respect to potential travel outside of the district, would the Court wish us to come to the Court to get specific permission?

THE COURT: Every time that there is going to be some superseding by the government of the pretrial services monitoring of her while she's on release, you will have to come to the Court, you will have to bring your agents that will explain and persuade the Court that they will monitor

03:23:21PI

03:23:341

03:23:579

03:24:14F

03:24:391

1 |

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

her, to insure that there are no violations, to insure that she will be here for all proceedings and that there is no possibility of escape. In that way the responsibilities are shifted to the United States Government, as opposed to being maintained with pretrial services.

03:25:04P

MR. GROVES: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: One other thing, before I forget:
Mrs. Rizzo, you understand and have read what your
cooperation obligations are?

Q3:25:20P

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, I have, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you have any question about them?

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: You understand then, too, if you breach them, what the consequences are?

03:25:27F

DEFENDANT CAROL RIZZO: Yes, your Honor.

MR. CAPLAN: Your Honor, the other thing is, and I don't know whether it's within the provisions that the pretrial services officer may give or not, but I don't believe that the government has any objection to Mrs. Rizzo visiting Mr. Rizzo at the CCA facility, obviously with the prior permission of pretrial services. Would that be all right with the Court?

03:25:41

THE COURT: I have no problem, unless pretrial services does. Sometimes it's difficult to accomplish that, and that's the problem. There is also security

03:26:02

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12|

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

issues, but I have no objection to that.

MR. CAPLAN: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. GROVES: And we have no objection either, but it will, once again, require travel outside of Maricopa County, I believe, to Pinal County.

Q3:26:15F

THE COURT: I said she merely needed to stay in the state, but any travel at all is travel that needs to be approved by the probation office. If they have some question about it, they bring it to my attention. I'm not anticipating any problems today.

03:26:28P!

MR. CAPLAN: Do I -- I'm sorry, do I need to be present with Mrs. Rizzo tomorrow, or can she be released, if that's when it is, directly from the courthouse?

03:26:43F

THE COURT: She can be released. You do not need to be here, because we're not sending her to a halfway house. She is released to her third-party custodians, and we call it bag and baggage. She comes here with all of her possessions and she is released, and I don't even have to be here. It can be done on the second floor with the marshals.

03:27:041

MR. CAPLAN: And I'm sorry again, your Honor, to bother you with these details, but just so I would know, if she's not going to be released today, which it looks like she's not going to be, she's going to be brought in tomorrow, the Court's having her returned tomorrow, and

03:27:16

2

3

4.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 l

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

then I should speak with Mr. Hernandez to facilitate the release?

THE COURT: Well, what happens is this: There is no reason why she needs to be released in open court, unless the marshals say otherwise. Mr. Hernandez, however, needs to be fully satisfied that she understands all the conditions, and you've probably seen the form. It's a standard form.

MR. CAPLAN: Yes, sure.

THE COURT: She has to sign it, and then once he gives permission, based upon my delegating to him that responsibility, she can be released, because I have already ordered, as of today, that she could be released if Mr. Hernandez was here.

MR. GROVES: If I might, your Honor, I think the concern is that Mr. Caplan is from San Francisco. His concern, I think, is also to make sure that if it doesn't happen today, that she is actually produced back here to the courthouse.

THE COURT: Yes, we will. We'll bring her back; okay?

Mr. Hernandez will be advised of what has happened here today. You may be able to reach him, too; he just told me that he had a variety of different things that he had that were emergencies, but he still might be

03:27:26P

03:27:42회

03:28:01P

3:28:121

03:28:29

available so that this can be accomplished today, and we will not have to bring back Mrs. Rizzo tomorrow. I can't 2 insure that. 3 MR. CAPLAN: Okay. 4 THE COURT: All right. Anything else? 03:28:43P 5 MR. CAPLAN: No. Thank you very much, your 6 Honor. 7 MR. GROVES: Nothing further, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: This matter is adjourned. 9 03:28:47F MR. GROVES: Thank you, your Honor. 10 THE CLERK: All rise. 11 MR. CAPLAN: Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Yes. 13 MR. CAPLAN: This doesn't have to be on the 14 record. 15 THE COURT: Oh, I just want to make the important 16 statement that I have found, based upon the evidence that 17 has been presented to me, that as of this time Mrs. Rizzo 18 is not a danger to the community, nor is she a flight risk. 19 All right. This matter is adjourned. 03:29:16: 20 (The proceedings adjourned at 3:29 p.m.) 21 22 23 24

б

CERTIFICATE

I, David M. Lee, CSR 9543, RMR, CRR, Official Court Reporter, hereby certify that pursuant to Section 733, Title 28, United States Code, that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the stenographically recorded proceedings in the above matter.

Signed this 27th day of February, 2004.

David M. Lee, CSR 9543, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT